

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,998	DOBIE ET AL.	
	Examiner Christopher Upton	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Christopher Upton.

(3) _____.

(2) John McGonagle.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 February 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 9

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend the claims to recite that the secondary treatment means provides nitrification, to clarify the claims.
Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)